Proceeding 2nd Medan International Economics and Business

Volume 2, Issue 1, 2024

"Human Resource Transformation and Collaborative Innovation to Build Independent and Competitive Business in the Digital Era"

Gender Development, Corruption Control, And Economic Growth Nexus: A Dynamic Panel Gmm Approach (2008-2023)

Bumaman Teodeki Tarigan[1*], Muhammad Syafii[2], Sirojuzilam Hasyim[3], Dede Ruslan[4]

[1,2,3,4] Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

***Email:** ekitigan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study examines the nexus between gender development, corruption control, and economic growth in ASEAN countries over the 2008-2023 period. Using dynamic panel Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) estimation with data from ten ASEAN nations, we investigate how Gender Development Index (GDI) and Control of Corruption (COC) interact to influence GDP growth. The empirical results reveal significant dynamic relationships where lagged GDP growth positively affects current growth ($\beta = 0.226$, $p < 0.01$), while GDI demonstrates a statistically significant negative impact on economic growth ($\beta = -66.74$, $p < 0.05$). Control of corruption exhibits a positive and highly significant effect on growth ($\beta = 2.23$, $p < 0.001$). However, the interaction term between GDI and COC shows no significant moderating effect, suggesting that gender development and corruption control operate through independent channels. Panel unit root tests confirm stationarity of variables, and the Hansen J-statistic validates instrument validity. The findings contribute to understanding the multidimensional determinants of economic growth in developing economies and provide policy implications for simultaneous investment in gender equality and governance quality to maximize growth outcomes in ASEAN region.

Keywords: ASEAN, Control of Corruption, Dynamic Panel GMM, Economic Growth, Gender Development Index.

INTRODUCTION

The relationship between institutional quality, social development, and economic growth has emerged as a critical research agenda in development economics, particularly in the context of developing regions experiencing rapid structural transformation (Alfada, 2019)[1]. The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) represents a diverse economic bloc where countries exhibit substantial heterogeneity in governance quality, gender equality outcomes, and growth trajectories (Kabeer & Natali, 2013)[2]. Understanding how gender development and corruption control interact to shape economic growth patterns in this region carries significant theoretical and practical importance for designing effective development policies. Gender development, measured through the Gender Development Index (GDI), captures disparities in human development achievements between women and men across health, education, and income dimensions (UNDP, 2023)[3]. Empirical evidence suggests that gender equality in human capital development

can stimulate economic growth by expanding the productive labor force, enhancing human capital accumulation, and improving allocative efficiency of resources (Klasen & Lamanna, 2009)[4]. Recent research in ASEAN economies demonstrates that reducing gender gaps in education and economic participation correlates positively with GDP per capita growth, though the magnitude varies across development stages (Seguino, 2020)[5].

Simultaneously, corruption control has been identified as a crucial governance dimension affecting economic performance through multiple transmission channels (Mauro, 1995)[6]. Countries with effective corruption control mechanisms tend to experience higher investment rates, improved public resource allocation, and enhanced private sector confidence (Méon & Weill, 2010)[7]. In the ASEAN context, corruption levels vary dramatically across member states, creating differential growth environments. Singapore and Brunei Darussalam maintain exemplary corruption control standards, while other member countries face persistent governance challenges (Transparency International, 2023)[8]. Despite extensive literature examining gender development and corruption control as separate determinants of economic growth, limited research has systematically investigated their potential interaction effects in developing economies. The interaction between these dimensions is theoretically plausible as gender-equitable societies may exhibit different corruption-growth dynamics compared to gender-unequal societies (Swamy et al., 2001)[9]. Furthermore, most existing studies employ static panel techniques that fail to capture growth dynamics and address potential endogeneity concerns arising from reverse causality and omitted variable bias (Roodman, 2009)[10].

This study addresses these gaps by employing dynamic panel Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) estimation to examine the nexus between gender development, corruption control, and economic growth in ten ASEAN countries over the 2008-2023 period. The dynamic panel GMM approach, pioneered by Arellano and Bond (1991)[11] and refined by Blundell and Bond (1998)[12], offers methodological advantages for handling endogeneity, controlling for unobserved heterogeneity, and modeling growth persistence. Our research contributes to the literature in three substantive ways. First, we provide comprehensive evidence on the gender development-growth relationship in ASEAN using updated GDI data from UNDP covering the post-global financial crisis period. Second, we examine whether corruption control moderates the gender development-growth relationship through an interaction term specification. Third, we employ rigorous dynamic panel GMM estimation with appropriate diagnostic tests to ensure robust inference. The research is structured systematically to facilitate clarity and comprehension. Section 2 outlines the research methodology including sample characteristics, data collection procedures, and analytical techniques. Section 3 presents empirical findings with detailed discussion of results and their linkage to research objectives. Section 4 concludes with implications for theory and practice, acknowledges limitations, and suggests directions for future research. This comprehensive analysis aims to inform evidence-based policymaking for sustainable and inclusive economic development in the ASEAN region.

METHODS

This research examines the relationship between gender development, corruption control, and economic growth in the ASEAN region. The sample comprises ten ASEAN member countries: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam. These countries represent diverse stages of economic development, governance quality, and gender equality outcomes, providing an ideal setting for investigating heterogeneous growth dynamics (Hill & Menon, 2014)[13]. The temporal scope spans sixteen years from 2008 to 2023, encompassing critical periods including the global financial crisis (2008-2009), post-crisis recovery, and the COVID-19 pandemic disruption (2020-2021). This time frame allows examination of how gender development and corruption control influence growth across different economic cycles. The balanced panel structure includes 160 country-year observations (10 countries × 16 years), providing sufficient degrees of freedom for dynamic panel estimation while maintaining adequate cross-sectional and time-series variation. Data collection occurred during June-July 2025, utilizing the most recent available datasets from authoritative international organizations. The ASEAN region was specifically selected due to increasing policy attention to gender mainstreaming and governance reform within the ASEAN Economic Community framework (ASEAN, 2025)[14]. Furthermore, the region exhibits substantial within-group variation in key variables, enhancing the statistical power to detect relationships.

This study employs secondary data collection techniques, gathering information from reputable international databases maintained by multilateral organizations (Saunders et al., 2019)[15]. The dependent variable, GDP Growth (GDPg), represents annual percentage change in real gross domestic product at constant 2015 US dollars, obtained from the World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI) database. This measure captures short-run economic performance and is widely used in growth empirics (Barro & Sala-i-Martin, 2004)[16]. The primary independent variable, Gender Development Index (GDI), was sourced from the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Human Development Reports. The GDI measures gender disparities in human development achievements across three dimensions: health (life expectancy at birth), education (expected and mean years of schooling), and command over economic resources (estimated gross national income per capita). Values range from 0 to above 1, with 1 indicating perfect gender parity (UNDP, 2023)[3]. This composite measure provides a comprehensive assessment of gender equality in human development outcomes. Control of Corruption (COC), the second key independent variable, was obtained from the World Bank's Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) database. The COC indicator captures perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as state capture by elites and private interests (Kaufmann et al., 2011)[17]. The indicator is measured on a scale ranging from approximately -2.5 (weak corruption control) to +2.5 (strong corruption control), with higher values indicating better governance quality.

An interaction term (GDI × COC) was constructed by multiplying the GDI and COC variables for each country-year observation. This interaction specification allows

examination of whether the effect of gender development on economic growth varies systematically with the level of corruption control (Brambor et al., 2006)[18]. Data integrity was ensured through cross-validation with multiple sources and systematic checks for outliers and inconsistencies. Missing values were minimal due to the selection of countries with complete time-series data.

This research employs a sequential analytical strategy progressing from descriptive statistics to advanced dynamic panel estimation. All statistical analyses were conducted using EViews 13 software, a specialized econometric package with robust capabilities for panel data modeling (IHS Global Inc., 2023)[19].

Initial analysis examined distributional properties of variables including mean, median, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis. The Jarque-Bera test assessed normality of distributions (Jarque & Bera, 1987)[20]. Correlation analysis using Pearson correlation coefficients identified preliminary bivariate relationships between variables, serving as a diagnostic tool for multicollinearity concerns.

Stationarity testing is essential in panel time-series analysis to avoid spurious regression results (Levin et al., 2002)[21]. Multiple panel unit root tests were implemented to ensure robust inference: (1) Levin-Lin-Chu (LLC) test assuming common unit root processes, (2) Im-Pesaran-Shin (IPS) test allowing heterogeneous unit root processes, (3) Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Fisher Chi-square test, and (4) Phillips-Perron (PP) Fisher Chi-square test. Variables were tested at levels and first differences with individual effects specification. Rejection of the null hypothesis of unit root indicates stationarity, validating the appropriateness of panel regression techniques (Im et al., 2003)[22].

Preliminary static panel models were estimated using three specifications: (1) Pooled Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) assuming parameter homogeneity, (2) Fixed Effects model controlling for unobserved country-specific heterogeneity, and (3) Random Effects model treating country effects as random draws from a distribution. The Hausman specification test determined the appropriate choice between fixed and random effects (Hausman, 1978)[23]. While informative, static models fail to capture growth persistence and may suffer from endogeneity bias.

The primary analytical technique employed is the two-step system Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) estimator developed by Blundell and Bond (1998)[12]. The dynamic specification includes lagged dependent variable to model growth persistence:

$$GDPg_{it}=\alpha+\beta_1 GDPg_{(i,t-1)}+\beta_2 GDI_{it}+\beta_3 COC_{it}+\beta_4 (GDI\times COC)_{it}+\mu_i+\varepsilon_{it}$$

where $GDPg_{it}$ represents GDP growth for country i at time t, μ_i captures unobserved country-specific effects, and ε_{it} is the idiosyncratic error term (Roodman, 2009)[10].

The system GMM estimator combines equations in both first differences and levels, using lagged levels as instruments for differenced equations and lagged differences as instruments for level equations. This approach addresses endogeneity arising from simultaneity, omitted variable bias, and dynamic panel bias. Orthogonal deviations transformation was employed to minimize data loss, particularly relevant for unbalanced panels (Arellano & Bover, 1995)[24].

Two critical diagnostic tests validate GMM estimation. The Hansen J-test of overidentifying restrictions assesses joint validity of instruments, with p-values above 0.10 indicating acceptable instrument validity (Hansen, 1982)[25]. The Arellano-Bond test for second-order serial correlation (AR(2)) in differenced residuals confirms appropriate dynamic specification, with rejection of AR(2) supporting model validity (Arellano & Bond, 1991)[11]. White period robust standard errors were computed to account for heteroskedasticity and cross-section correlation, ensuring accurate inference. Model specification followed conservative instrument proliferation guidelines to avoid overfitting. The instrument rank was limited to preserve degrees of freedom and maintain instrument strength. Multiple model specifications were tested, including models with and without interaction terms, to assess robustness of findings and identify the most parsimonious representation of growth dynamics in ASEAN economies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Research Variables (2008-2023)

Statistic	GDPg	GDI	COC	GDI×COC
Mean	4.308	0.966	-0.232	-0.206
Median	5.073	0.981	-0.488	-0.487
Maximum	14.520	1.012	2.232	2.185
Minimum	-12.016	0.876	-1.673	-1.594
Std. Dev.	3.870	0.036	0.990	0.958
Skewness	-1.342	-0.664	1.215	1.283
Kurtosis	5.923	2.202	3.670	3.780
Jarque-Bera	104.980	16.000	42.349	47.971
Probability	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Observations	160	160	160	160

Table 1 presents comprehensive descriptive statistics for all research variables across the 160 country-year observations. GDP growth (GDPg) exhibits a mean of 4.31% with substantial variation (standard deviation = 3.87%), ranging from -12.02% to 14.52%. The negative skewness (-1.34) and excess kurtosis (5.92) indicate left-skewed distribution with heavy tails, reflecting the presence of severe economic contractions during crisis periods. The Jarque-Bera statistic strongly rejects normality ($p < 0.001$), consistent with the inclusion of extraordinary economic shocks (Baum, 2006)[26].

Gender Development Index displays high average values (mean = 0.966) with limited variation (standard deviation = 0.036), suggesting that most ASEAN countries have achieved substantial gender parity in human development dimensions. The range from 0.876 to 1.012 indicates that while some countries approach or exceed perfect parity, others maintain notable gender gaps. Control of Corruption shows negative mean (-0.232) with high variability (standard deviation = 0.990), reflecting heterogeneous governance quality across the region. The positive skewness suggests that most countries cluster at lower corruption control levels with few high performers.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix of Research Variables

	GDPg	GDI	COC	GDI×COC
GDPg	1.000	-0.300	-0.262	-0.256

GDI	-0.300	1.000	0.511	0.485
COC	-0.262	0.511	1.000	0.999
GDI×COC	-0.256	0.485	0.999	1.000

Table 2 displays the Pearson correlation matrix revealing several noteworthy relationships. GDP growth exhibits moderate negative correlations with GDI (r = -0.30), COC (r = -0.26), and their interaction (r = -0.26), suggesting a counterintuitive preliminary association. However, these bivariate correlations do not account for confounding factors or endogeneity issues addressed in multivariate analysis (Wooldridge, 2010)[27]. GDI and COC show positive correlation (r = 0.51), indicating that countries with better gender equality tend to have stronger corruption control. The near-perfect correlation between COC and the interaction term (r = 0.999) raises multicollinearity concerns that are mitigated through variance inflation factor diagnostics and careful interpretation of regression coefficients.

Panel Unit Root Tests

Table 3: Panel Unit Root Test Results

Variable	LLC	IPS	ADF-Fisher	PP-Fisher
GDPg	-4.467***	-3.528***	47.821***	65.229***
GDI	-5.595***	-2.700***	38.620***	49.125***
COC (level)	-2.518***	-1.468	28.184	19.809
D(COC)	-0.556	-2.273**	33.239**	90.980***
GDI×COC (level)	-2.274**	-1.295	26.749	18.707
D(GDI×COC)	-0.814	-2.304**	33.628**	90.318***

Note: *, **, * denote significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. D() indicates first difference.**

Table 3 reports panel unit root test results for all variables. GDP growth and GDI demonstrate stationarity at levels across all four test specifications, as evidenced by consistent rejection of the unit root null hypothesis at the 1% significance level. Control of Corruption exhibits mixed results at levels, with the LLC test rejecting the unit root ($p < 0.01$) but IPS and Fisher tests failing to reject at conventional levels. First differencing of COC achieves stationarity according to IPS, ADF-Fisher, and PP-Fisher tests, confirming I(1) integration order (Harris & Tzavalis, 1999)[28]. The interaction term follows similar patterns to COC, requiring first differencing for stationarity. These results validate the appropriateness of dynamic panel GMM estimation which accommodates both I(0) and I(1) variables through appropriate transformation and instrumentation.

Static Panel Estimation Results

Table 4: Static Panel Estimation Results

Variable	Pooled OLS	Fixed Effects	Random Effects
Constant	21.114	6.424	7.556
	(1.036)	(0.181)	(0.279)
GDI	-17.700	-3.258	-4.147
	(-0.856)	(-0.090)	(-0.151)
COC	-7.577	-48.914	-29.373
	(-0.379)	(-1.594)	(-1.166)
GDI×COC	7.119	50.083	29.403
	(0.350)	(1.571)	(1.146)

R-squared	0.107	0.259	0.055
Adj. R-squared	0.089	0.198	0.036
F-statistic	6.205***	4.275***	3.006**
Observations	160	160	160

Note: t-statistics in parentheses. *, **, * denote significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively.**

Table 4 presents static panel estimation results across three specifications. All models show statistically insignificant coefficients for individual variables despite overall model significance indicated by F-statistics. The pooled OLS model exhibits low explanatory power ($R^2 = 0.107$) and fails to account for country heterogeneity, likely biasing standard errors downward (Baltagi, 2013)[29]. Fixed effects specification improves fit ($R^2 = 0.259$) and controls for time-invariant country characteristics, yet coefficients remain statistically insignificant at conventional levels. Random effects yields the weakest fit ($R^2 = 0.055$), and the Hausman test ($\chi^2 = 8.24$, $p = 0.041$) rejects random effects in favor of fixed effects specification. The absence of statistical significance in static models may reflect endogeneity bias, measurement error, and failure to model growth dynamics, motivating the adoption of dynamic GMM estimation (Bond, 2002)[30].

Dynamic Panel GMM Estimation Results

Table 5: Dynamic Panel GMM Estimation Results (Two-Step System GMM)

Variable	Model 1 (Without Interaction)	Model 2 (With Interaction)
GDPg(-1)	0.226***	0.231***
	(4.833)	(3.592)
	[0.001]	[0.006]
GDI	-66.739***	-85.620***
	(-3.197)	(-3.125)
	[0.011]	[0.012]
COC	2.225***	23.262
	(8.176)	(1.624)
	[0.000]	[0.139]
GDI×COC	---	-21.876
		(-1.400)
		[0.195]
S.E. of regression	3.525	3.550
Sum squared resid	1702.511	1714.317
J-statistic	7.755	7.293
Prob(J-statistic)	0.355	0.295
Instrument rank	10	10
Observations	140	140
Cross-sections	10	10

Note: t-statistics in parentheses, p-values in brackets. *, **, * denote significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. Cross-section fixed effects with orthogonal deviations. White period robust standard errors.**

Table 5 presents the core empirical findings from dynamic panel GMM estimation. Model 1 excludes the interaction term to establish baseline relationships, while Model 2 incorporates the

GDI×COC interaction to test moderation hypotheses. Both models demonstrate strong methodological validity as evidenced by Hansen J-statistics failing to reject instrument validity ($p = 0.355$ and $p = 0.295$, respectively), and Arellano-Bond tests confirming absence of problematic serial correlation (Roodman, 2009)[10].

The lagged dependent variable coefficient in Model 1 ($\beta = 0.226$, $p < 0.01$) confirms significant growth persistence, indicating that countries experiencing higher growth in period t-1 tend to maintain higher growth in period t. This autoregressive parameter magnitude suggests moderate but meaningful growth momentum, consistent with neoclassical convergence theory predicting partial persistence rather than unit root non-stationarity (Barro & Sala-i-Martin, 2004)[16].

Gender Development Index exhibits a highly significant negative coefficient ($\beta = -66.74$, $p < 0.05$ in Model 1; $\beta = -85.62$, $p < 0.05$ in Model 2), representing the most striking finding of this analysis. This counterintuitive result suggests that increases in gender parity are associated with lower GDP growth rates in the ASEAN context during 2008-2023. Control of Corruption demonstrates a positive and highly significant relationship with growth in Model 1 ($\beta = 2.23$, $p < 0.001$), confirming that improved corruption control enhances economic performance. However, when the interaction term is introduced in Model 2, the main effect of COC becomes statistically insignificant ($\beta = 23.26$, $p = 0.139$), while the interaction term itself shows no significant moderating effect ($\beta = -21.88$, $p = 0.195$).

The diagnostic statistics indicate satisfactory model specification. Sum of squared residuals and standard errors of regression are comparable across models, suggesting equivalent predictive performance. The instrument rank of 10 relative to 140 observations ensures adequate degrees of freedom and avoids overfitting concerns associated with instrument proliferation (Roodman, 2009)[10]. The use of orthogonal deviations transformation with cross-section fixed effects effectively controls for country-specific heterogeneity while minimizing data loss.

Discussion

The empirical findings reveal complex and multifaceted relationships between gender development, corruption control, and economic growth in ASEAN economies. The negative GDI-growth relationship contradicts conventional expectations rooted in human capital theory and endogenous growth models (Lucas, 1988)[31]. Several theoretical and contextual explanations may account for this surprising result.

First, the negative coefficient may reflect transition costs associated with gender convergence in developing economies. As countries invest in narrowing gender gaps through expanded female education and labor force participation, short-run growth may decelerate due to resource reallocation from physical capital accumulation to human capital development (Becker et al., 1990)[32]. The 2008-2023 period encompasses substantial investments in gender-responsive infrastructure and social protection systems across ASEAN, potentially diverting resources from immediately productive activities (ADB, 2024)[33].

Second, measurement characteristics of the GDI may contribute to the observed relationship. The GDI captures ratio of female-to-male achievements rather than absolute levels of human development. Countries with initially high male human development may exhibit high GDP growth rates while simultaneously showing lower GDI values due to persistent gender gaps. Conversely, countries with rapid improvements in female outcomes

relative to male outcomes demonstrate rising GDI alongside potentially slower overall growth if starting from lower development levels (Klasen & Lamanna, 2009)[4].

Third, the finding aligns with emerging research documenting U-shaped relationships between gender equality and growth, where initial stages of gender convergence may temporarily reduce growth before yielding positive returns at higher development thresholds (Bandiera & Natraj, 2013)[34]. ASEAN countries spanning low-income to high-income categories may be positioned at different segments of this non-linear trajectory, with the linear specification capturing an average negative slope during the sample period.

Fourth, sectoral composition effects may mediate the gender-growth nexus. Increases in female labor force participation in ASEAN have occurred predominantly in lower-productivity service sectors rather than high-productivity manufacturing, potentially dampening aggregate growth impacts (ILO, 2022)[35]. Gender gaps in occupational segregation and wage discrimination persist across the region, limiting the efficiency gains from gender parity (World Bank, 2023)[36].

The strongly positive impact of corruption control on growth aligns consistently with institutional quality theories emphasizing governance as a fundamental determinant of economic performance (North, 1990)[37]. Effective corruption control reduces transaction costs, enhances property rights security, improves public service delivery, and attracts foreign direct investment (Mauro, 1995)[6]. The magnitude of the coefficient ($\beta = 2.23$) implies that a one-standard-deviation improvement in corruption control (0.99 points) is associated with approximately 2.2 percentage points higher GDP growth, representing economically substantial effects comparable to findings in broader cross-country studies (Méon & Weill, 2010)[7].

The insignificant interaction term suggests that gender development and corruption control operate through independent channels rather than exhibiting synergistic or antagonistic effects. This finding contrasts with hypotheses that gender-equitable institutions may enhance corruption control effectiveness or that good governance may amplify gender equality benefits (Swamy et al., 2001)[9]. The absence of significant interaction may reflect insufficient statistical power to detect conditional effects, heterogeneity in interaction patterns across countries obscuring average effects, or genuinely independent causal pathways.

The moderate growth persistence captured by the lagged dependent variable ($\beta = 0.23$) indicates that ASEAN economies exhibit partial convergence dynamics where transitory shocks gradually dissipate but growth momentum persists over several periods. This finding is consistent with conditional convergence predictions where countries approach steady-state growth paths determined by structural characteristics including institutions and human capital (Barro, 1991)[38]. The coefficient magnitude below 0.5 suggests relatively rapid adjustment speeds compared to global samples, potentially reflecting high economic integration and technology diffusion within ASEAN (Hill & Menon, 2014)[13].

Comparison with existing literature reveals both consistencies and divergences. Our negative GDI-growth relationship contradicts findings from Klasen and Lamanna (2009)[4] who document positive effects of gender equality on growth in broader samples. However, their analysis focuses on levels of education gender gaps rather than the composite GDI measure, suggesting measurement differences drive result discrepancies. Our corruption control findings strongly align with Alfada (2019)[1] who similarly identifies negative corruption-growth relationships in ASEAN using threshold regression techniques. The significant growth persistence we document is consistent with Arellano and Bond (1991)[11] and subsequent dynamic panel literature emphasizing autoregressive components in growth processes.

Methodologically, the dynamic GMM approach proves essential for valid inference given the presence of growth persistence and endogeneity. Comparison between static and dynamic models reveals substantial differences, with static specifications yielding insignificant coefficients while dynamic GMM uncovers significant relationships. This divergence underscores the importance of addressing endogeneity through appropriate instrumentation and modeling dynamics explicitly (Roodman, 2009)[10]. The satisfactory diagnostic tests validate instrument selection and specification choices, enhancing confidence in result reliability.

Linkage to Research Objectives

This research successfully addresses its primary objectives of examining the nexus between gender development, corruption control, and economic growth in ASEAN economies using advanced econometric techniques. The dynamic panel GMM estimation reveals that all three dimensions exhibit statistically significant relationships with growth, though not necessarily in initially hypothesized directions.

The first objective of quantifying the impact of gender development on economic growth is achieved through consistent estimation of GDI coefficients across multiple model specifications. While the negative sign challenges conventional expectations, the finding contributes novel empirical evidence on gender-growth dynamics in developing Asian economies during the post-crisis period. The magnitude and significance of the coefficient underscore that gender equality policies carry important growth implications requiring careful consideration of transition pathways and complementary investments.

The second objective of assessing corruption control's growth effects is conclusively demonstrated through the strong positive coefficient in the baseline GMM model. This result reinforces the critical importance of governance quality for economic performance in the ASEAN context and validates ongoing regional initiatives to strengthen anti-corruption frameworks and transparency mechanisms (ASEAN, 2025)[14]. The coefficient magnitude provides quantitative evidence that can inform cost-benefit analyses of governance reform investments.

The third objective of investigating interaction effects between gender development and corruption control yields null results, indicating independent rather than interactive pathways. While the insignificant interaction term precludes claims of moderation, this

finding itself carries theoretical value by suggesting that gender equality and corruption control should be pursued as parallel rather than necessarily integrated policy agendas. Policymakers need not condition gender equality investments on prior achievement of corruption control targets, or vice versa, but can advance both dimensions simultaneously.

The methodological objective of demonstrating appropriate use of dynamic panel GMM techniques for growth empirics in developing economies is achieved through comprehensive presentation of estimation procedures, diagnostic tests, and comparison with static alternatives. The successful application of two-step system GMM with orthogonal deviations, robust standard errors, and careful instrument selection provides a template for future research examining growth determinants in panel settings characterized by endogeneity and dynamics (Roodman, 2009)[10]

CONCLUSION

This study examines the complex relationships between gender development, corruption control, and economic growth in ten ASEAN countries over the 2008-2023 period using dynamic panel GMM estimation. The empirical analysis yields several noteworthy conclusions that contribute to theoretical understanding and practical policymaking. First, the research identifies significant growth persistence in ASEAN economies, with lagged GDP growth exerting positive and statistically significant effects on current growth ($\beta = 0.226$, $p < 0.01$). This finding confirms the relevance of dynamic specifications and conditional convergence frameworks for understanding growth patterns in developing Asian economies. Second, gender development measured by GDI exhibits a significant negative relationship with GDP growth ($\beta = -66.74$, $p < 0.05$), suggesting that the pathway from gender equality to growth dividends may involve transition costs or non-linear dynamics not captured by linear specifications. Third, corruption control demonstrates strong positive impacts on growth ($\beta = 2.23$, $p < 0.001$), reinforcing the critical importance of governance quality for economic performance. Fourth, the interaction between gender development and corruption control shows no significant moderating effect, indicating independent rather than synergistic pathways to growth..

REFERENCES

Alfada, A. (2019). The destructive effect of corruption on economic growth in Indonesia: A threshold model. Heliyon, 5(10), e02649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02649

Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. Review of Economic Studies, 58(2), 277-297. https://doi.org/10.2307/2297968

Arellano, M., & Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. Journal of Econometrics, 68(1), 29-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(94)01642-D

ASEAN. (2025). ASEAN Community Vision 2045: Together We Prevail. ASEAN Secretariat.

Asian Development Bank. (2024). Gender Equality and Social Inclusion in ASEAN: Progress and Challenges. ADB.

Baltagi, B. H. (2013). Econometric Analysis of Panel Data (5th ed.). Wiley.

Bandiera, O., & Natraj, A. (2013). Does gender inequality hinder development and economic growth? Evidence and policy implications. World Bank Research Observer, 28(1), 2-21. https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lks012

Barro, R. J., & Sala-i-Martin, X. (2004). Economic Growth (2nd ed.). MIT Press.

Barro, R. J. (1991). Economic growth in a cross section of countries. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 106(2), 407-443. https://doi.org/10.2307/2937943

Baum, C. F. (2006). An Introduction to Modern Econometrics Using Stata. Stata Press.

Becker, G. S., Murphy, K. M., & Tamura, R. (1990). Human capital, fertility, and economic growth. Journal of Political Economy, 98(5), S12-S37. https://doi.org/10.1086/261723

Blundell, R., & Bond, S. (1998). Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models. Journal of Econometrics, 87(1), 115-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(98)00009-8

Brambor, T., Clark, W. R., & Golder, M. (2006). Understanding interaction models: Improving empirical analyses. Political Analysis, 14(1), 63-82. https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpi014

Bond, S. R. (2002). Dynamic panel data models: A guide to micro data methods and practice. Portuguese Economic Journal, 1(2), 141-162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10258-002-0009-9

Hill, H., & Menon, J. (2014). ASEAN economic integration: Driven by markets, bureaucrats, or both? In M. E. Kreinin & M. G. Plummer (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of

Hausman, J. A. (1978). Specification tests in econometrics. Econometrica, 46(6), 1251-1271. https://doi.org/10.2307/1913827

Hansen, L. P. (1982). Large sample properties of generalized method of moments estimators. Econometrica, 50(4), 1029-1054. https://doi.org/10.2307/1912775

Harris, R. D., & Tzavalis, E. (1999). Inference for unit roots in dynamic panels where the time dimension is fixed. Journal of Econometrics, 91(2), 201-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(98)00076-1

International Labour Organization. (2022). Women and Men in the Informal Economy: A Statistical Update. ILO.

International Commercial Policy (pp. 357-386). Oxford University Press.

IHS Global Inc. (2023). EViews 13 User's Guide II. IHS Global Inc.

Im, K. S., Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (2003). Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. Journal of Econometrics, 115(1), 53-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(03)00092-7

Jarque, C. M., & Bera, A. K. (1987). A test for normality of observations and regression residuals. International Statistical Review, 55(2), 163-172. https://doi.org/10.2307/1403192

Kabeer, N., & Natali, L. (2013). Gender equality and economic growth: Is there a win-win? IDS Working Papers, 2013(417), 1-58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2040-

0209.2013.00417.x
Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2011). The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and analytical issues. Hague Journal on the Rule of Law, 3(2), 220-246. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1876404511200046
Klasen, S., & Lamanna, F. (2009). The impact of gender inequality in education and employment on economic growth: New evidence for a panel of countries. Feminist Economics, 15(3), 91-132. https://doi.org/10.1080/13545700902893106
Levin, A., Lin, C. F., & Chu, C. S. J. (2002). Unit root tests in panel data: Asymptotic and finite-sample properties. Journal of Econometrics, 108(1), 1-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(01)00098-7
Lucas, R. E. (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. Journal of Monetary Economics, 22(1), 3-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(88)90168-7
Mauro, P. (1995). Corruption and growth. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 110(3), 681-712. https://doi.org/10.2307/2946696
Méon, P. G., & Weill, L. (2010). Is corruption an efficient grease? World Development, 38(3), 244-259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2009.06.004
North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance. Cambridge University Press.
Roodman, D. (2009). How to do xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata. Stata Journal, 9(1), 86-136. https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X0900900106
Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research Methods for Business Students (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
Seguino, S. (2020). Engendering macroeconomic theory and policy. Feminist Economics, 26(2), 27-61. https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2019.1609691
Swamy, A., Knack, S., Lee, Y., & Azfar, O. (2001). Gender and corruption. Journal of Development Economics, 64(1), 25-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878(00)00123-1
Transparency International. (2023). Corruption Perceptions Index 2023. Transparency International.
United Nations Development Programme. (2023). Human Development Report 2023/2024: Breaking the Gridlock. UNDP.
Wooldridge, J. M. (2010). Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data (2nd ed.). MIT Press.
World Bank. (2023). Women, Business and the Law 2023. World Bank Publications.